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BRIEF HISTORY

Technical Committee TC9 of ECMA issued in May 1968 their Standard ECMA-16 for Basic Mode Control Procedures for Data Communication Systems using the ECMA 7 bit Coded Character Set. Further work was undertaken on procedures for multiple station selection. A proposal by ECMA was filed in June 1970 with ISO/TC97/SC6 and approved by the various delegations.

The present Standard ECMA-28 corresponds to this proposal. It is an extension of the Basic Mode Standard ECMA-16. It defines the procedures by which a Master station may select more than one Slave station.

It has been accepted by the General Assembly of ECMA on Dec. 11, 1970.
1. SCOPE

This Standard ECMA-28 is an optional extension of Standard ECMA-16 on Basic Mode Control Procedure for Data Communication Systems.

This means that:

i) those systems which conform to Standard ECMA-16 do not necessarily have to include the functions described in the present Standard.

ii) systems implementing the functions described hereafter must comply with the present Standard to conform to the Standard ECMA-16 on Basic Mode Control Procedures.

This Standard defines the Multiple Station Selection as an extension to the Basic Mode Control Procedures for Data Communication Systems.

When a system is designed to work both with and without the Multiple Selection Procedure, some means must be provided for the Master Station to notify the Slave stations which procedure is to be entered (for example, by assigning two different addresses to each station having both facilities).

2. DEFINITION

2.1 Multiple Selection is a means by which a Master station may select more than one Slave station so that all the selected Slave stations receive the same transmission at the same time.

3. SELECTION SUB-PHASE

Three methods providing various degrees of protection are proposed for the Selection Sub-phase. They are listed in the order of decreasing degree of protection.

3.1 Sequential Selection with individual replies from the selected stations:

```
MASTER | Sel. Add.#1 | ENQ | Sel. Add.#2 | ENQ | Sel. Add.#n | ENQ | STX | Data
SLAVE  | Add.#1 | ACK | Add.#2 | ACK | Add.#n | ACK |
```
3.2 Group Selection with reply from one designated Station

For example, the most distant one, or strategically located, or any station indicated within the Selection Sequence.

3.3 Group Selection with Fast Select

NOTE 1: Group Select Address may replace the individual Select address or it may be a Sequence of different Selection addresses.

NOTE 2: Group Selection with Fast Select can be preceded by a normal Selection to one station of the group. This Selection has the purpose of designating the Station in charge of replying to the fast select message.

4. INFORMATION TRANSFER PHASE

Three methods providing various degrees of protection are proposed for the Information Transfer Phase. They are listed in the order of decreasing degree of protection.

4.1 Information Transfer with individual replies from the Slave Station

After each transmission block, the Master station sends a Delivery Verification Supervisory Sequence, consisting of a prefix identifying a single Slave
station, followed by ENQ. Only Tributary stations having slave status should respond to Delivery Verification Supervisory Sequences:

4.2 Information Transfer with reply from one designated Station (for example, the most distant, or strategically located)

4.3 No reply

Although "no reply" case is not considered by the Basic Mode, it is recognized that it may conveniently be used for general announcement (e.g. Conference) and the broadcasting of messages of a "clear text" type.

5. RELATIONS BETWEEN SELECTION PROCEDURES AND INFORMATION TRANSFER PROCEDURES

Although the adoption of one of the three Selection Procedures does not preclude the adoption of any one of the three procedures for Information Transfer, it is recognized that some pairings would not be realistic. Straightforward pairings could be, for example, 2.1 with 3.1, 2.2 with 3.2, and 2.3 with 3.3.