Ecma/TC39/2011/027

Status Report – May 2011

test262

#### Agenda

- Site changes since previous TC39 Meeting
- Test coverage
- Path to test262 "RTM"

# Changes since previous TC39 Meeting

- Site certificate issue on <u>http://bugs.ecmascript.org</u> fixed (thanks Dave!)
- Roughly 450 (mostly Strict Mode) test case additions since March
- Sputnik negative test semantics preserved
- More of Sputnik (positive/negative) test case metadata preserved
- Many small bug fixes

### Test Coverage ES<sub>5</sub> Additions Completely Missing Tests

None known\*

## Test Coverage ES5 Additions With Insufficient Coverage

Section	Existing Tests	Missing Tests
Chapter 10	107	None known

#### Path to test262 RTM (aka 1.0) Proposal

- Develop test262 into the most comprehensive JavaScript test suite publically available
- Identify and fill test holes discovered in test262's coverage of ES5
- 3. Cleanup
- 4. Continue with periodic test case updates as needed\*

#### Path to test262 RTM (aka 1.0) Goals

test262 viewed *positively* by the web community and JavaScript implementers:

- Holes in test coverage identified and filled
- User-reported website bugs fixed
- Remaining invalid tests identified and either fixed or disabled
- test262 RTMs within six months of test262 officially being labeled as Beta

### Path to test262 RTM (aka 1.0) Levels of Investment

Minimal	Expected	Full
Must-fix website issues. Cost: 10.75 hours	Normal priority website issues/features resolved. Cost: +29 hours	<ul> <li>Test "Search" feature</li> <li>HTML'ized spec w/ tests embedded</li> <li>Etc.</li> <li>Cost: +205 hours</li> </ul>
Must-fix harness issues. Cost: 40 hours	Normal priority harness issues/features. Cost: +80 hours	<ul> <li>Non-ES5 extensions to harness</li> <li>Etc.</li> <li>Cost: +20 hours</li> </ul>
Must-fix test case defects/holes. Cost: 89 hours	Normal test case defects/holes. Cost: +31 hours	<ul> <li>Academic-like review of existing test coverage</li> <li>Etc.</li> <li>Cost: +322 hours</li> </ul>

#### Notes (this slide only)

Priorities correlate to work item priorities on <a href="http://bugs.ecmascript.org">http://bugs.ecmascript.org</a>:

- Minimal → High Priority
- Expected → Normal Priority
- Full → Low Priority

Costing also based on bugs.ecmascript.org costing and *high-quality* implementations. A lower quality resolution implies less costing.

### Path to test262 RTM (aka 1.0) Open Issues

- Server powering test262.ecmascript.org seems a bit sluggish
- Root access to test262.ecmascript.org
- Many disabled Sputnik tests today