# Ecma TC39
# November 18, 2014

ES6 Editor's Status and Issues

Allen Wirfs-Brock

# Rev28 Draft

- Modules
  - Removed loader pipeline and Reflect.Loader API (functionality being transferred to separate specification)
  - Stream-lined module linking semantics for declarative modules.
  - Removed Module declaration
  - Update Import declaration to include module imports.
  - Updated default export syntax and semantics to support export of anonymous default functions
  - Added Module Environment Records and indirect (import) bindings
  - Added Module evaluation jobs
  - Added Host hooks for module name normalization and source access.

- In Rev29, name normalization fixed to support relative naming

# Rev28 Draft

- Interim Subclass Instantiation Reform
  - Changed ordinary object creation to dispatch object allocation through [[CreateAction]] internal slot instead of @@create method.
  - Converted all @@create methods into CreateAction abstract operations.
  - Eliminated Symbol.create and @@create.
  - super without an immediately following property specifier is now illegal in all MethodDefinition (no more implicit super using current method name)
  - super in a constructor call expression references the constructor's [[Prototype]]
  - Function.prototype.toMethod no longer takes an optional name argument

# Rev28 Draft

- Finished up ES6 eval function semantics

- Eliminated unused abstract operations, PromiseAll, PromiseCatch, PromiseThen

- Modified Promise.all so specification internally uses a List instead of an Array to accumulate result promises

- Added @@iterator property to %IteratorPrototype%

- Added requirement that the object returned by ordinary object [[Enumerate]] must inherit from %IteratorPrototype%

- Removed own @@iterator properties from various standard iterators, they now inherit it from %IteratorPrototype%

- Updated ToPropertyKey to accept Symbol wrapper objects, similar to how other primitive coercion abstract operations handle wrapper objects

- ToNumber now recognizes binary and octal string numeric values.

- Significant fix to destructuring assignment where the rest assignment target is itself a destructuring pattern

- Updated Annex A Grammars to match ES6

# End Game Planning

- Needed:
  - One paragraph summary of ES6 goals for Introduction
  - Clause 4 – Language Overview. Needs to be updated to reflect ES6 features
- Readers, Readers, Readers …
- Ecma-402 Edition 2, review.
- How will we resolve last minute issues?

# Assignment to a const: Static Error?

- https://esdiscuss.org/topic/throwing-errors-on-mutating-immutable-bindings

  https://bugs.ecmascript.org/show_bug.cgi?id=3253

  ```
  const x = 42;
  x=32;   //early error???
  ```

- es-discuss consensus: eliminate early error
- However, current spec. draft (legacy) ES5 semantics only throws on assignment to an immutable binding in strict mode:

  ```
  "don't use strict";
  Object.definedOwnProperty(this, 'globalReadOnly', {value: 'readonly'});
  var func = function f() {
    f = undefined;        //silently skips assignment
    undefined = 42;       //silently skips assignment
    Infinity = 0;         //silently skips assignment
    globalReadOnly = 0; //silently skips assignment
  };
  func();  //no exception thrown
  ```

- Should assignment to const also be silent in non-strict mode? Exception will require some new spec. mechanisms.

# MooTools conflict with String.prototype.contains

- https://esdiscuss.org/topic/having-a-non-enumerable-array-prototype-contains-may-not-be-web-compatible
- https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1075059

- Options:
    - Leave as is, break some web sites
    - Remove 'contains' method
    - Rename 'contains to something else. What?

    - Rename to "includes"

- Also, Outlook web client issue with Array.prototype.values
    - https://esdiscuss.org/topic/array-prototype-values-is-not-web-compat-even-with-unscopables

How should be deal with similar issues as we approach ES6 ship date??

# Global let shadowing of non-configurable global properties

- https://esdiscuss.org/topic/late-shadowing-of-globals-esp-undefined

  https://bugs.ecmascript.org/show_bug.cgi?id=3301

- For example: let undefined = 666

- Issues
  - When are/aren't global lets allowed to shadow an already existing property of a global object
  - Are built-in globals equivalent to global vars or are they just properties of global object
  - Make it illegal to shadow a global property would mean future global properties are breaking changes

- Proposal: Runtime error when instantiating a script if a lexical declaration shadows a non-configurable own property of global object

# Zepto broken by new this.constructor pattern in some Array methods

- https://bugs.ecmascript.org/show_bug.cgi?id=3256

- Intended to produce same subclass as original this value.

- But Zepto does:

```
var obj = [1,2,3];
obj.__proto__ = { slice: Array.prototype.slice };
var res = obj.slice(2);
Array.isArray(res); // true in ES5, false in ES6.
```

- this.constructor is Object!

# Spec. text that cause Zepto problem

4. If *O* is an exotic Array object, then
    a. Let *C* be Get(*O*, **"constructor"**).
    b. ReturnIfAbrupt(*C*).
    c. If IsConstructor(*C*) is **true**, then
        i. Let *thisRealm* be the running execution context's Realm.
        ii. If SameValue(*thisRealm*, GetFunctionRealm(*C*)) is **true**, then
            1. Let *A* be the result of calling the [[Construct]] internal method of *C* with argument (0).
5. If *A* is **undefined**, then
    a. Let *A* be ArrayCreate(0).

# Zepto Proposed Fix

4. Let *C* be Get(*O,* "constructor").
5. ReturnIfAbrupt(*C*)
6. If IsConstructor(*C*) is **true**, then
   - a. Let *thisRealm* be the running execution context's Realm.
   - b. If SameValue(*thisRealm*, GetFunctionRealm(*C*)) is **true**, then
      - i. Let *species* be Get(*C*, @@species);
      - ii. ReturnIfAbrupt(*species*)
      - iii. If IsConstructor(*species*) is **true**, then
         1. Let *A* be the result of calling the [[Construct]] internal method of *species* with argument (0).
7. If *A* is **undefined**, then let *A* be ArrayCreate(0).

@@species ➜ @@copyConstructor  ??

# Template String call site caching and eval

- [https://bugs.ecmascript.org/show_bug.cgi?id=3305](https://bugs.ecmascript.org/show_bug.cgi?id=3305)
  [https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2014-July/038343.html](https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2014-July/038343.html)

```
let world = "world";
let t = "tag`hello, ${world}.`";
eval(t);
eval(t);
```

```
new Function(t)();
new Function(t)();
```

```
tag`hello, ${world}.`;
```

- How many call sites?  5, 3, 2, or 1?

- Meeting decision: 1

# Array.isArray

```
Array[Symbol.isArray]] = true;
Array.isArray = function (obj) {
    let constructor = obj.constructor;
    If (typeof constructor != 'function') return false;
    let isArrayC =
        Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(constructor,"isArray");
    if (isArrayC) {
        If (isOrdinary(obj) return false;
        //if (isProxy(obj)) return isArrayC.value(proxyTarget(obj));
    };
    return !!constructor[Symbol.isArray];
}
```

# Also

- Change Array.prototype.concat to do the new Array.isArray test instead of using @@isConcatSpreadable
  - If Array.isArray(obj) is true, concat will flatten the object
- Change JSON.stringify to Array.isArray test where it currently checks for an exotic array object.
  - If Array.isArray(obj) is true, stringify obj will use [ ] notation
- Give %TypedArray% a true valued @@isArray property
  - Array.isArray(new Int32Array(10)) will be true
  - Verify that if doesn't break anything